

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Joint Application of AT&T Communications of California, Inc. (U 5002 C) and WorldCom, Inc. for the Commission to Reexamine the Recurring Costs and Prices of Unbundled Switching in Its First Annual Review of Unbundled Network Element Costs Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 11 of D.99-11-050.

Application 01-02-024  
(Filed February 21, 2001)

Application of AT&T Communications of California, Inc. (U 5002 C) and WorldCom, Inc. for the Commission to Reexamine the Recurring Costs and Prices of Unbundled Loops in Its First Annual Review of Unbundled Network Element Costs Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 11 of D.99-11-050.

Application 01-02-035  
(Filed February 28, 2001)

Application of The Telephone Connection Local Services, LLC (U 5522 C) for the Commission to Reexamine the Recurring Costs and Prices of the DS-3 Entrance Facility Without Equipment in Its Second Annual Review of Unbundled Network Element Costs Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 11 of D.99-11-050.

Application 02-02-031  
(Filed February 28, 2002)

Application of AT&T Communications of California, Inc. (U 5002 C) and WorldCom, Inc. for the Commission to Reexamine the Recurring Costs and Prices of Unbundled Interoffice Transmission Facilities and Signaling Networks and Call-Related Databases in Its Second Annual Review of Unbundled Network Element Costs Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 11 of D.99-11-050.

Application 02-02-032  
(Filed February 28, 2002)

Application of Pacific Bell Telephone Company (U 1001 C) for the Commission to Reexamine the Costs and Prices of the Expanded Interconnection Service Cross-Connect Network Element in the Second Annual Review of Unbundled Network Element Costs Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 11 of D.99-11-050.

Application 02-02-034  
(Filed February 28, 2002)

Application of XO California, Inc. (U 5553 C) for the Commission to Reexamine the Recurring Costs of DS1 and DS3 Unbundled Network Element Loops in Its Second Annual Review of Unbundled Network Element Costs Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 11 of D.99-11-050.

Application 02-03-002  
(Filed March 1, 2002)

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REVISING SCHEDULE**

At workshops in the above-captioned proceeding on December 3-5, 2002, and at a law and motion hearing on December 16-19, 2002, parties jointly requested a modification to the schedule for this proceeding. At the request of the parties, namely Pacific Bell Telephone Company (Pacific), AT&T

Communications of California, Inc. and WorldCom, Inc. (hereinafter “Joint Applicants”), this ruling grants the request to allow more time for the filing of Reply Comments. More time is needed to allow parties time to comply with discovery rulings issued at the December 16-19 law and motion hearing. Other dates in the schedule are modified accordingly and set forth below. The revised schedule for this proceeding shall be as follows:

February 7, 2003	Reply Comments on cost studies/models
March 7, 2003	Rebuttal Comments on cost studies/models
March 19, 2003	Deadline for motions requesting hearings. Any motions must justify the need for an evidentiary hearing by identifying the material disputed factual issues on which hearing should be held. In addition, any motion should identify the general nature of the evidence the party proposes to introduce at the requested hearing. Any right a party may otherwise have to an evidentiary hearing for the presentation of facts will be waived if the party does not follow the above procedure for a timely request.
March 31, 2003	Ruling on need for hearings and submission of case (if request for hearings not granted).
June 30, 2003	Proposed Decision Issued (if hearings not required).

**If Hearings Required:**

April 14-18, 2003	Evidentiary Hearings, Commission Courtroom, State Office Building, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco
May 16, 2003	Concurrent opening briefs
June 6, 2003	Reply briefs and case submitted

September 4, 2003	Proposed Decision issued
-------------------	--------------------------

In addition, there is a ban on further discovery until reply comments are filed on February 7, 2003. I will consider exceptions to this ban only if justified as follow-up clarification to earlier discovery requests that may not have been answered completely.

**IT IS RULED** that the schedule for this proceeding is revised as set forth in this ruling.

Dated January 6, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ DOROTHY J. DUDA

---

Dorothy J. Duda  
Administrative Law Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Revising Schedule on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated January 6, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID  
Fannie Sid

**N O T I C E**

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

\*\*\*\*\*

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TTY# 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.